From: Galizia, Audrey [Galizia.Audrey@epa.gov]

Sent: 8/17/2017 3:55:49 PM

To: Thayer, Kris [thayer.kris@epa.gov]; Persad, Amanda [Persad.Amanda@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: Need for reviewers/ protocol discussion

Attachments: EWG_SkillsInterests 23JAN17.docx; Protocol development status 15AUG17.xlsx

Hi Kris,

Please see below for our responses in blue italics.

We welcome your comments and suggestions!

Thanks.

Audrey and Amanda

From: Galizia, Audrey

Sent: Wednesday, August 09, 2017 6:45 AM

To: Thayer, Kris <thayer.kris@epa.gov>; Persad, Amanda <Persad.Amanda@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Need for reviewers

All good questions and comments. I would like to think about and discuss with Amanda before responding with one response from both of us. Is that ok with you, Kris?

Thanks. Audrey

From: Thayer, Kris

Sent: Wednesday, August 09, 2017 5:43 AM

To: Persad, Amanda < Persad. Amanda@epa.gov >; Galizia, Audrey @epa.gov >


Subject: RE: Need for reviewers

Some comments below:

Action items:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Persad, Amanda Sent: Tuesday, August 8, 2017 3:07 PM To: Thayer, Kris < thayer.kris@epa.gov>; Galizia, Audrey < Galizia.Audrey@epa.gov> Subject: RE: Need for reviewers Finally getting to this thread. Here's my input on this conversation.
Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)



Amanda

From: Thayer, Kris

Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 1:53 PM

To: Galizia, Audrey Galizia.Audrey@epa.gov; Persad, Amanda Persad.Amanda@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Need for reviewers

Sounds good!

From: Galizia, Audrey

Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 1:30 PM

To: Thayer, Kris < thayer.kris@epa.gov">thayer.kris@epa.gov; Persad, Amanda Persad.Amanda@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Need for reviewers

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Thayer, Kris

Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 1:25 PM

To: Galizia, Audrey < Galizia. Audrey@epa.gov >; Persad, Amanda < Persad. Amanda@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: Need for reviewers

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Thayer, Kris

Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 7:52 AM

To: Galizia, Audrey < Galizia. Audrey@epa.gov>; Persad, Amanda < Persad. Amanda@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Need for reviewers

And I hope cheaper....I implemented a version of this at NTP and I think it ended up being fairly cheap. But the workflow we are discussing below seems much better

From: Galizia, Audrey

Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 7:50 AM

To: Thayer, Kris < thayer.kris@epa.gov>; Persad, Amanda < Persad.Amanda@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Need for reviewers

Sounds like a plan....

From: Thayer, Kris

Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 7:49 AM

To: Galizia, Audrey <Galizia. Audrey@epa.gov>; Persad, Amanda <Persad. Amanda@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Need for reviewers

Yes on piloting – being one of the reviewers! Exactly. Perhaps one of the reviewers and then also the final, or at least reviewing the final text on the 1-2 studies used during the piloting of the protocol

From: Galizia, Audrey

Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 7:37 AM

To: Thayer, Kris <thayer.kris@epa.gov>; Persad, Amanda <Persad.Amanda@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Need for reviewers

Please see below in blue

From: Thayer, Kris

Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 7:10 AM

To: Galizia, Audrey < Galizia. Audrey@epa.gov >; Persad, Amanda < Persad. Amanda@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: Need for reviewers

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Galizia, Audrey

Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 7:02 AM

To: Thayer, Kris <thayer.kris@epa.gov>; Persad, Amanda <Persad.Amanda@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Need for reviewers

See below in blue....just my opinion...hope it makes sense

From: Thayer, Kris

Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 6:45 AM

To: Galizia, Audrey <Galizia.Audrey@epa.gov>; Persad, Amanda <Persad.Amanda@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Need for reviewers

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Thanks. Audrey

From: Galizia, Audrey

Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 6:41 AM

To: Persad, Amanda < Persad. Amanda@epa.gov >; Thayer, Kris < thayer.kris@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: Need for reviewers

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Thanks. Audrey

From: Persad, Amanda

Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 9:52 PM **To:** Thayer, Kris < thayer.kris@epa.gov **Cc:** Galizia, Audrey Galizia.Audrey@epa.gov

Subject: Re: Need for reviewers

Yes. Each study would have 1 ICF ROB reviewer and 1 in-house person with the in-house person being the final reviewer. I don't know how the authorship page of the assessment would be affected, but we can figure out how to cross that bridge later.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 26, 2017, at 8:12 PM, Thayer, Kris <thayer.kris@epa.gov> wrote:

It's on agenda for MC tomorrow - are you thinking we pair them with ICF reviewers to spend the Epi WA money and use this as a chance to get the ICF epidemiologist used to our workflows

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 26, 2017, at 5:24 PM, Galizia, Audrey < Galizia. Audrey@epa.gov> wrote:

Hi Kris,

Something to think about.....

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Thanks. Audrey